UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,926	05/31/2005	Kazumi Aoyama	450100-04849	6572
William S From	7590 03/25/201 nmer	EXAMINER		
Frommer Lawrence & Haug			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
745 Fifth Avent New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/536,926	AOYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL N. OPSASNICK	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. or election requirement.		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examination. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/536,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2626

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Fukui</u> (5918222).

As per claim 1,36, Fukui (5918222) teaches a dialog control device/robot characterized by comprising: memory means for storing various pieces of information appended to an object as values corresponding to respective items of the object (fig. 94, col. 46 lines 15-16); and conversation generation means for selecting, in response to an item of said object defined as topic, another topic relating to the topic used in the immediately preceding conversation (as topics – fig. 94, col. 46 lines 30-60); and generating an acquisition conversation for acquiring the value of the item selected as topic (as value-topic relationship – col. 61 lines 17-22) or a utilization conversation for utilizing the value of the item in the topic already stored in said memory means as the next conversation (col. 46 lines 30-45); said conversation generation means being adapted to store the acquired value acquired by said acquisition conversation as the value of the corresponding item (as generating the next conversation based on topic change – col. 52 lines 19-25,47-49)..

As per claims 2-11, <u>Fukui (5918222)</u> teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said conversation generation means selects: any other item, relating to, differing relationships between the object, topic and values used in said immediately preceding conversation belongs as the next topic and generates said utilization conversation by utilizing the value of the item

Art Unit: 2626

already stored in said memory means (as changing to next topic based on values extracted from the user – col. 52 lines 19-45; figs. 2,12,22,189-193).

As per claims 12-14, Fukui (5918222) teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said conversation generation means includes: memory acquisition conversation generation means for generating said acquisition conversation; memory utilization conversation generation means for generating said utilization conversation; situation judgment means for selecting either said memory acquisition conversation generation means or said memory utilization conversation generation means and have said memory acquisition conversation generation means or said memory utilization conversation generation means, whichever selected, generate said next conversation; wherein the situation judgment decides memory acquisition or memory utilization based on a ratio of acquired/non-acquired items and first extent is different than the second extent (as decision based upon conversation state, judging whether more information is needed, and acquiring/accessing the information -- figs. 167,169,171).

As per claims 15,16, <u>Fukui (5918222)</u> teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said conversation generation means holds history of the used topics and generates said acquisition conversation or said utilization conversation by referring to the history, and not using the same topic via history information (col. 9 lines 1-25).

As per claims 17,18, <u>Fukui (5918222)</u> teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said memory means stores the values of the items of said object along with their respective degrees of impression that provide reference for deciding if any of the values may be used in the

Art Unit: 2626

conversations with said object or not and said conversation generation means selects the topic to be used in the next conversation on the basis of the degree of impression thereof; and internal condition management means adapted to hold parameters indicating the internal condition and change the values of the parameters according to external stimuli; each of said degrees of impression representing the difference of the parameter values of the corresponding parameter held by said internal condition management means before and after the acquisition of the corresponding value (as generating expression scores including likelihood and strength, prediction, system response contents – figs. 173-176; including degree of expression, figs. 177-179)

Claims 19-35 are method claims that are performed by the apparatus claims 1-18 and as such, are similar in scope and content to claims 1-18 and therefore, claims 19-35 are rejected under similar rationale as presented against claims 1-18 above.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,926 Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Michael N. Opsasnick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626 03/24/2010